

Mathematical Problems Arising in  
Electrostatic MEMS  
or  
On some nonlinear eigenvalue problems

Nassif Ghoussoub

Cotonou, Benin Summer School

June 2010

# Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems?

They are essentially electrostatically actuated micro-plates which lie at the roots of micro-system technology.

We consider here a model for an electrostatic-controlled tunable capacitor that is widely used in:

- ▶ micro-resonators,
- ▶ optical micro-switches,
- ▶ chemical sensors, micro-mirrors,
- ▶ accelerometers for airbag development of automobiles,
- ▶ micro-pumps for ink jet printer heads,
- ▶ micro-valves,
- ▶ shuffle motors,
- ▶ micro- and nano-tweezers,
- ▶ among many other devices....

## MEMS references

- ▶ Pelesko & Bernstein, *Modeling MEMS and NEMS*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2003
- ▶ Bernstein, Guidotti & Pelesko, Proc. of Modeling and Simulation of Microsystems '00.
- ▶ Chan & Dutton, Proceedings of SPIE '99.
- ▶ Flores, Mercado & Pelesko, Proceedings of ICMENS '03.
- ▶ Pelesko, Bernstein & Mc Cuan, Proceedings of MSM '03.
- ▶ Seeger & Crary, Proc. of 1997 International Conference on Solid-State Sensors and Actuators '97.
- ▶ Michael Ward and Alan Lindsay, 2004-09
- ▶ (Another) Guo and J-C Wei, 2006-09
- ▶ F.H. Lin and Yang 2007
- ▶ Castorina, Esposito & Sciunzi, CPAA to appear
- ▶ Dancer, AIHP '08
- ▶ Farina, J. Math. Pures Appl. '07

However, not widely accepted models (Naifeh, etc...)

# Basic MEMS Model

- ▶ Lower part consists of a thin and deformable microplate that is held fixed along its boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .
- ▶ The dielectric elastic micro-plate lies below a parallel rigid grounded plate, say at level  $z = 1$ . It is coated with a negligibly thin metallic conducting film.
- ▶ When a voltage  $V$  is applied to the conducting film, it deflects towards the upper plate.
- ▶ If the applied voltage  $V$  is increased beyond a certain critical value  $V^*$ , then the mechanical forces defined by the deformable plate can no longer resist the opposing electrostatic force, thereby leading it to touch the grounded plate.

The dynamic deflection  $u = u(x, t)$  of the membrane on a bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfies the evolution equation

$$\rho A \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + a \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = T \Delta u - B \Delta^2 u - \frac{C^2 V^2}{(1-u)^2} \quad \text{on } \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+,$$

$$u(x, t) = B \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta}(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+,$$

$$u(x, 0) = A \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

- $\rho$  is mass density per unit volume and  $A$  is its thickness;
- $a$  is a damping intensity;
- $T$  is the tension constant in the stretching energy;
- $B$  for bending constant and is given by  $B = \frac{2A^3 Y}{3(1-\nu^2)}$  where  $Y$  is the Young modulus and  $\nu$  is the Poisson ratio;
- Membrane and top plate subjected to a capacitance  $C$  and an electric voltage  $V$ ;
- Undeformed membrane as initial condition.
- Membrane clamped and fixed at boundary.

## Stationary equations under study

The stationary state is described by the following fourth-order equation describing the deflection  $u = u(x)$  of a circular membrane with a clamped boundary condition

$$(IV)_B \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} B\Delta^2 u - T\Delta u = \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } B, \\ 0 \leq u < 1 & \text{in } B, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{array} \right.$$

## Stationary equations under study

The stationary state is described by the following fourth-order equation describing the deflection  $u = u(x)$  of a circular membrane with a clamped boundary condition

$$(IV)_B \quad \begin{cases} B\Delta^2 u - T\Delta u = \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } B, \\ 0 \leq u < 1 & \text{in } B, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases}$$

If membrane is assumed to be perfectly elastic and has no rigidity, then second order nonlinear eigenvalue problem on a general bounded domain  $\Omega$ :

$$(II)_{\Omega, f} \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \frac{\lambda f(x)}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ 0 \leq u < 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

**Dynamic case under study** The dynamic deflection  $u = u(x, t)$  will be considered after we make a huge simplification to the model by ignoring rotational inertial which would have given the equation a hyperbolic character.

In other words, we assume that the membrane thickness  $A$  is negligible to end up with the following parabolic equation on a time interval  $(0, T)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = \frac{\lambda f(x)}{(1-u)^2} & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, t) = 0 & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = 0 & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

# Pull-In Voltage and Steady-States

Pull-In Voltage  $\lambda^*$  is:

$$\lambda^* = \sup\{\lambda > 0 \mid (II)_{\lambda, \Omega, f} \text{ resp., } (IV)_{\lambda} \text{ has at least one solution}\}.$$

**Theorem:** There exists  $0 < \lambda^* < +\infty$  such that

- ▶  $\lambda < \lambda^* \implies$  at least one solution;
- ▶  $\lambda > \lambda^* \implies$  no solution

## Issues

- ▶  $\lambda$  is small: a stable deflection, and when  $\lambda > \lambda^*$  (pull-in voltage): snap-through (touchdown) **"Pull-In" Instability**, which limits the stable operation regime, e.g. Micropumps and microresonators.
- ▶ What happens when  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ ?
- ▶ Estimate  $\lambda^*(\Omega, f)$  in terms of the geometry of the domain and the permittivity profile  $f$ .

## Lower Bounds for $\lambda^*$

Isoperimetry:

$$\lambda^*(\Omega, f) \geq \lambda^*(B_R, f^*), \text{ where } |B_R| = |\Omega|.$$

Noticed numerically (Guo-Pan-Ward (2004))

Can be established mathematically (Ghoussoub-Guo 2005).

## Lower Bounds for $\lambda^*$

Isoperimetry:

$$\lambda^*(\Omega, f) \geq \lambda^*(B_R, f^*), \text{ where } |B_R| = |\Omega|.$$

Noticed numerically (Guo-Pan-Ward (2004))

Can be established mathematically (Ghoussoub-Guo 2005).

**Theorem:** For the model  $(II)_{\Omega, f}$ ,

$$\lambda^*(\Omega, f) \geq \max \left\{ \frac{8N}{27}, \frac{6N-8}{9} \right\} \frac{1}{\sup_{x \in \Omega} f} \left( \frac{\omega_N}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{N}}.$$

## Upper Bounds for $\lambda^*$ :

$$\lambda^*(\Omega, f) \leq \min \left\{ \bar{\lambda}_1 := \frac{4\mu_\Omega}{27 \inf_{x \in \Omega} f(x)}, \bar{\lambda}_2 := \frac{\mu_\Omega}{3 \int_\Omega f \phi_\Omega dx} \right\}.$$

$(\mu_\Omega, \phi_\Omega)$  being the first eigenpair of the Laplacian on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

- Pelesko for  $\bar{\lambda}_1$
- Guo-Pan-Ward for  $\bar{\lambda}_2$ .

## Upper Bounds for $\lambda^*$ :

$$\lambda^*(\Omega, f) \leq \min \left\{ \bar{\lambda}_1 := \frac{4\mu_\Omega}{27 \inf_{x \in \Omega} f(x)}, \bar{\lambda}_2 := \frac{\mu_\Omega}{3 \int_\Omega f \phi_\Omega dx} \right\}.$$

$(\mu_\Omega, \phi_\Omega)$  being the first eigenpair of the Laplacian on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

- **Pelesko** for  $\bar{\lambda}_1$
- **Guo-Pan-Ward** for  $\bar{\lambda}_2$ .
- **Ghoussoub-Guo**: If  $\Omega$  is star shaped with respect to 0 (i.e.,  $x \cdot \nu \geq a > 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ ). Then

$$\lambda^*(\Omega, 1) \leq \frac{(N+2)^2 |\partial\Omega|}{8aN|\Omega|},$$

where  $|\Omega|$  is the volume of  $\Omega$  and where  $|\partial\Omega|$  denotes the surface area of the boundary.

## Analytic Bounds for $\lambda^*$ for circular membranes

Suppose  $B$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ , and  $f(x) = |x|^\alpha$ .

1. For  $\alpha \gg 1$ ,

$$\lambda^*(B, |x|^\alpha) \approx 0.789 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2.$$

2. If  $B$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Then, for all  $\alpha > -2$ .

$$\lambda^*(B, |x|^\alpha) = 0.789 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2,$$

3. If  $N \geq 8$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_N := \frac{3N-14-4\sqrt{6}}{4+2\sqrt{6}}$ . Then

$$\lambda^*(B, |x|^\alpha) = \frac{(2 + \alpha)(3N + \alpha - 4)}{9}.$$

# Minimal and Stable Steady-States:

**Definition:**  $u_\lambda(x)$  is a minimal solution, if for any other solution  $u(x)$  of  $(II)_\lambda$  (or  $(IV)_\lambda$ ) we have  $u_\lambda(x) \leq u(x)$  on  $\Omega$ .

Set  $L_{u,\lambda} = -\Delta - \frac{2\lambda f(x)}{(1-u)^3}$  resp.,  $L_{u,\lambda} = \beta\Delta^2 - \Delta - \frac{2\lambda f(x)}{(1-u)^3}$

**Definition:**  $u_\lambda$  is a stable solution, if  $L_{u,\lambda}$  is positive on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  (resp.,  $H_0^2(\Omega)$ ).

Theorem: Minimal Solution  $\iff$  Stable Solution.

*Morse Index*  $m(u, \lambda) := \#\{\text{negative eigenvalues of } L_{u,\lambda}\}.$

For unstable (i.e., non-minimal) solution  $m(u, \lambda)$  is the dimension of the negative space of  $L_{u,\lambda}$ .

## Pull-in Distance:

**Theorem:** If  $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^*$ , there exists a unique minimal (i.e, stable )  $u_\lambda$  of  $(S)_\lambda$ , and the map  $\lambda \rightarrow u_\lambda$  is strictly increasing.

Define  $u^* = \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*} u_\lambda$ , the extremal solution of  $(S)_\lambda$ .

$u^*$  is singular if  $\|u_{\lambda^*}\|_\infty = 1$

$u^*$  is regular if  $\|u_{\lambda^*}\|_\infty < 1$ .

Pull-in Distance is defined as  $P(\Omega, f, N) = \|u_{\lambda^*}\|_\infty$ .

## Estimates on the Pull-in Distance:

**Theorem:** (Cowan-Ghoussoub) Suppose  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  and  $0 \leq f(x)$  Hölder continuous. Then

$$P(\Omega, f) \geq 1 - \min \left\{ \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{\sup_{\Omega} f}{\inf_{\Omega} f} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \left( \frac{2 \sup_{\Omega} f}{3 \int_{\Omega} f \phi_{\Omega} dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right\}.$$

In particular if  $f(x) \equiv 1$  then  $P(\Omega, 1) \geq \frac{1}{3}$ .

Observed numerically by Pelesko (Conventional wisdom!).

On the other hand, if  $B$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ , then

1. For  $N = 1$ . Then  $P(B, 1) \leq .49...$
2. For  $N = 2$ . Then  $P(B, 1) \leq .55...$
3. More general formula valid in any dimension.

## Estimates on the Pull-in Distance:

**Theorem:** (Cowan-Ghoussoub) Suppose  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  and  $0 \leq f(x)$  Hölder continuous. Then

$$P(\Omega, f) \geq 1 - \min \left\{ \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{\sup_{\Omega} f}{\inf_{\Omega} f} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \left( \frac{2 \sup_{\Omega} f}{3 \int_{\Omega} f \phi_{\Omega} dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right\}.$$

In particular if  $f(x) \equiv 1$  then  $P(\Omega, 1) \geq \frac{1}{3}$ .

Observed numerically by Pelesko (Conventional wisdom!).

On the other hand, if  $B$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ , then

1. For  $N = 1$ . Then  $P(B, 1) \leq .49\dots$
2. For  $N = 2$ . Then  $P(B, 1) \leq .55\dots$
3. More general formula valid in any dimension.
4. If  $N = 2$ , then  $P(B, |x|^{\alpha}) = P(B, 1)$  for any  $\alpha$ .  
(Boundary layer appears as  $\alpha$  increases). Observed numerically by Guo-Pan-Ward.

## Second order equation: Solution set

- If  $N = 1$ ,  $f \equiv 1$ ,  $\Omega = B$ :  
Exactly 2 solutions for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ .

## Second order equation: Solution set

- If  $N = 1$ ,  $f \equiv 1$ ,  $\Omega = B$ :

Exactly 2 solutions for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ .

- If  $2 \leq N \leq 7$ ,  $f(x) = g(x)|x|^\alpha$  with  $g \geq c > 0$  and any  $\Omega$ :
  - ▶ uniqueness for small  $\lambda$  if  $\Omega$  star-shaped (Esposito-Gh. 08);
  - ▶ regularity of extremal solution (Gh-Guo 08);
  - ▶ exactly 2 solutions close to  $\lambda^*$  and
  - ▶ a curve  $(\lambda(t), u(t))_{t \geq 0}$  of solutions starting from  $(0, 0)$  and  $\|u(t)\|_\infty \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , with infinitely many bifurcation or turning points (Esposito-Gh. 08);

## Second order equation: Solution set

- If  $N = 1$ ,  $f \equiv 1$ ,  $\Omega = B$ :

Exactly 2 solutions for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ .

- If  $2 \leq N \leq 7$ ,  $f(x) = g(x)|x|^\alpha$  with  $g \geq c > 0$  and any  $\Omega$ :
  - ▶ uniqueness for small  $\lambda$  if  $\Omega$  star-shaped (Esposito-Gh. 08);
  - ▶ regularity of extremal solution (Gh-Guo 08);
  - ▶ exactly 2 solutions close to  $\lambda^*$  and
  - ▶ a curve  $(\lambda(t), u(t))_{t \geq 0}$  of solutions starting from  $(0, 0)$  and  $\|u(t)\|_\infty \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , with infinitely many bifurcation or turning points (Esposito-Gh. 08);
- If  $N \geq 8$ ,  $f \equiv 1$ ,  $\Omega = B$ :

Extremal solution is singular. Just the minimal branch?  
(Gh-Guo 05);

# Fourth order equation: $f(x) \equiv 1$ and $\Omega = B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

Cowan-Esposito-Ghoussoub-Moradifam 2009

- ▶ If  $N = 1, 2$ , at least 2 solutions for any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ .
- ▶ If  $3 \leq N \leq 8$ , the extremal solution is regular hence at least one bifurcation.
- ▶ If  $N \geq 9$ , the extremal solution is singular.

$N = 8$  is the critical dimension for 4th order equation, while  $N = 7$  is the critical dimension for 2d order equation.

Fourth order much more intricate. Involves new Hardy-Rellich inequalities.

## Compactness along Higher Branches

$$(II)_{\Omega, f} \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \frac{\lambda f(x)}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ 0 \leq u < 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem:** If  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  with  $2 \leq N \leq 7$  and  $f(x) = g(x)|x|^\alpha$  with  $g \geq c > 0$ . Let  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  be a sequence such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \in [0, \lambda^*]$  and let  $u_n$  be an associated solution of  $(II)_{\lambda_n}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $\max_{\Omega} u_n \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ;
2.  $\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{f(x)}{(1-u_n)^3} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ;
3.  $m(u_n, \lambda_n) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

# Blow-up Analysis

Suppose  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \in [0, \lambda^*]$  and  $u_{\lambda_n}(x) \rightarrow 1$  at some  $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ . Set

$$\varepsilon_n = 1 - u_{\lambda_n}(x_0) \rightarrow 0, \quad U_n(y) = \frac{1 - u_{\lambda_n}(\varepsilon_n^{\frac{3}{2+\alpha}} \lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2+\alpha}} y + x_0)}{\varepsilon_n}.$$

Then  $\{U_n\}_n$  converges to a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta U = \frac{|y|^\alpha}{U^2} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ U(y) \geq C > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (L)$$

What we can know about (L) would tell us more about the branches of  $(II)_\lambda$ !

## Limiting Problem:

**Theorem:** Assume either

- ▶  $1 \leq N \leq 7$
- ▶ or  $N \geq 8$  with  $\alpha > \alpha^{**}(N) := \frac{4-6N+3\sqrt{6}(N-2)}{4}$

If  $U$  is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta U = \frac{|y|^\alpha}{U^2} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ U(y) \geq C > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (L)$$

Then,

1.  $U$  is necessarily unstable (i.e. at least

$$\mu_1(U) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla \phi|^2 - \frac{2|y|^\alpha}{U^3} \phi^2 \right); \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi^2 = 1 \right\} < 0.$$

2. If  $N \geq 8$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha^{**}(N)$ , then (L) has at least one solution  $U$  which is semi-stable.

# Restoring compactness for $N \geq 8$ with $f(x) = |x|^\alpha$

**Theorem:**  $\Omega = B_1(0)$ ,  $N \geq 8$  and  $\alpha^{**}(N) := \frac{4-6N+3\sqrt{6}(N-2)}{4}$ , then

1. If  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha^{**}(N)$ , then

$$u^*(x) = \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*} u_\lambda(x) = 1 - |x|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{3}}, \quad \lambda^* = \frac{(2+\alpha)(3N+\alpha-4)}{9}.$$

2. If  $\alpha > \alpha^{**}(N)$ , then  $(II)_{\lambda, |x|^\alpha}$  restores all regularity of the case where  $1 \leq N \leq 7$  and  $f(x) \equiv 1$ , including the compactness of  $u_\lambda$  as  $\lambda$  close to  $\lambda^*$ , i.e., no more critical dimension!

**Bifurcation Diagrams  $\implies$**

## Dynamic Deflection:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = \frac{\lambda f(x)}{(1-u)^2} & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, t) = 0 & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 = 0 & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem** (Gh-Guo 2005, Same pull-in voltage  $\lambda^*(\Omega, f)$ )

1. If  $\lambda < \lambda^*$ , then  $u$  globally converges to the minimal steady-state  $u_\lambda$ .
2. If  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ , then  $u$  must touchdown at finite time  $T_\lambda$ .
3. If  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ , then  $u$  globally converges to the extremal solution  $u^* = \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*} u_\lambda$ .  
(i.e., if  $u^*$  is singular then  $u$  touches down at infinite time).

Define the **touchdown (i.e. quenching) time**

$$T_\lambda(\Omega, f, u) = \sup \left\{ t \in (0, +\infty); \sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x, t) < 1 \right\}.$$

which is the maximal time of existence for  $u(x, t)$ .

## Estimates of Touchdown Time $T_\lambda$ : $\lambda > \lambda^*$

Recall  $\bar{\lambda}_1 := \frac{4\mu_\Omega}{27 \inf_{x \in \Omega} f(x)} (> \lambda^*)$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_2 := \frac{\mu_\Omega}{3 \int_\Omega f \phi_\Omega dx} (> \lambda^*)$ .

**Theorem:** (Guo-Pan-Ward 2004)

1. If  $\lambda > \bar{\lambda}_1$ , then  $T_\lambda \leq T_1 := \int_{-1}^0 \left[ \mu_\Omega s + \frac{\lambda \inf_{x \in \Omega} f(x)}{(1+s)^2} \right]^{-1} ds$ .
2. If  $\lambda > \bar{\lambda}_2$ , then  $T_\lambda \leq T_2 := -\frac{1}{\mu_\Omega} \log \left[ 1 - \frac{\mu_\Omega}{3\lambda} \left( \int_\Omega f \phi_\Omega dx \right)^{-1} \right]$ .

**Theorem:** (Gh-Guo, 2006 and 2008) If  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ , then

$$T_\lambda \leq T_3 := \frac{8(\lambda + \lambda^*)^2}{3 \inf_\Omega f (\lambda - \lambda^*)^2 (\lambda + 3\lambda^*)} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\lambda + 3\lambda^*}{2\lambda + 2\lambda^*} \right)^{1/2} \right].$$

If also  $\int_\Omega \frac{\phi^*(x)}{f(x)} dx < \infty$ , there exists  $C = C(f, \Omega)$  with

$$T_\lambda \leq T_4 := C \cdot (\lambda - \lambda^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here  $\phi^* > 0$ ,  $\int_\Omega \phi^*(x) dx = 1$  and  $-\Delta \phi^* - \frac{2\lambda^* \phi^* f(x)}{(1-u^*)^3} = 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

## Singularity of extremal solution for $(II)_B$ when $N \geq 8$

**Lemma:** If  $u$  is an  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ -weak solution of  $(II)_\lambda$  that is singular (i.e.,  $\|u\|_\infty = 1$ ) and semi-stable, i.e.,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^2 \geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{2\lambda}{(1-u)^3} \phi^2 \quad \forall \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

then  $\lambda = \lambda^*$  and  $u = u^*$ .

If now  $\Omega$  is the unit ball of  $\mathbf{R}^N$ , then  $u(x) = 1 - |x|^{\frac{2}{3}}$  is a singular  $H_0^1(B)$ -weak solution of  $(II)_\lambda$  that corresponds to the voltage

$$\lambda(N) = \frac{2(3N-4)}{9}.$$

It is the extremal solution when it is semi-stable, i.e., when

$$\int_B |\nabla \phi|^2 \geq \int_{B_1} \frac{2\lambda}{(1-u)^3} \phi^2 = 2\lambda(N) \int_B \frac{\phi^2}{|x|^2}.$$

But this is implied by **Hardy's inequality** whenever

$$2\lambda(N) \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \text{ or, equivalently, if } N \geq 8.$$

## Singularity of extremal solution for $(IV)_B$ when $N \geq 9$

Same game with  $u(x) = 1 - |x|^{\frac{4}{3}}$ : a singular  $H_0^2(B)$ -weak solution of  $(IV_\lambda)$  that corresponds to the voltage

$$\lambda(N) := \frac{8}{9}\left(N - \frac{2}{3}\right)\left(N - \frac{8}{3}\right).$$

It is the extremal solution when it is semi-stable, i.e., when

$$\int_{B_1} |\Delta \phi|^2 \geq \int_{B_1} \frac{2\lambda}{(1-u)^3} \phi^2 = 2\lambda(N) \int_{B_1} \frac{\phi^2}{|x|^4}.$$

But this is implied by the Hardy-Rellich inequality whenever

$$2\lambda(N) \leq \frac{N^2(N-4)^2}{16} \text{ or, equivalently, if } N \geq 9.$$

**Problem:**  $u(x) = 1 - |x|^{\frac{4}{3}}$  does not satisfy the boundary condition!!!!.

So try

$$u_m(x) := 1 - \frac{3m}{3m-4}|x|^{4/3} + \frac{4}{3m-4}|x|^m.$$

It works for  $N \geq 17$ , by using an improved Hardy-Rellich inequality. for all  $\phi \in H_0^2(B)$

$$\int_B (\Delta\phi)^2 dx \geq \frac{N^2(N-4)^2}{16} \int_B \frac{\phi^2}{|x|^4} dx + C \int_B \phi^2 dx.$$

What happen for  $9 \leq N \leq 16$ ?

Enter [Moradifam \(2009\)](#)

To establish semi-stability for  $u_3$  and  $u_{2.8}$ , one needs to establish the following **improved Hardy-Rellich inequalities**:

- **Case  $10 \leq N \leq 16$ :** we need that for all  $\phi \in H_0^2(B)$

$$\int_B (\Delta\phi)^2 dx \geq \frac{(N-2)^2(N-4)^2}{16} \int_B \frac{\phi^2 dx}{(|x|^2 - |x|^{\frac{N}{2}+1})(|x|^2 - |x|^{\frac{N}{2}})} + \frac{(N-1)(N-4)^2}{4} \int_B \frac{\phi^2 dx}{|x|^2(|x|^2 - |x|^{\frac{N}{2}})}.$$

- **Case  $N = 9$ :** we need that for all  $\phi \in H_0^2(B)$

$$\int_B (\Delta\phi)^2 dx \geq \int_B Q(|x|) \left( P(|x|) + \frac{N-1}{|x|^2} \right) \phi^2 dx,$$

$$\text{where } P(r) = \frac{p''(r) + \frac{(N-1)}{r} p'(r)}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(r) = \frac{q''(r) + \frac{(N-3)}{r} q'(r)}{q},$$

$$p(r) := r^{-\frac{N}{2}+1} + r - 1.9; \quad q(r) := r^{-\frac{N}{2}+2} + 20r^{-1.69} + 10r^{-1} + 10r + 7r^2 - 48.$$

## Amazing case of SERENDIPITY!!

### General Hardy Inequalities (Ghoussoub-Moradifam 2007)

Let  $V, W$  be positive radial  $C^1$ -functions on  $(0, R)$  such that

$\int_0^R \frac{1}{r^{n-1}V(r)} dr = +\infty$ . Are then equivalent:

1.  $(V, W)$  is a Bessel pair on  $(0, R)$ , i.e.,

$$y''(r) + \left( \frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{V_r(r)}{V(r)} \right) y'(r) + \frac{W(r)}{V(r)} y(r) = 0$$

has a positive solution on the interval  $(0, R)$ .

2.  $\int_B V(x)|\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \int_B W(x)u^2 dx$  for all  $u \in C_0^\infty(B)$ .
3. If  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^\alpha V(r) = 0$  for some  $\alpha < n-2$ , then the above are equivalent to: for all radial  $u \in C_{0,r}^\infty(B)$

$$\int_B V(x)|\Delta u|^2 dx \geq \int_B W(x)|\nabla u|^2 dx + (n-1) \int_B \left( \frac{V(x)}{|x|^2} - \frac{V_r(|x|)}{|x|} \right) |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$